



MAYFAIR
GOLD

Creating Optionality Through Disciplined Gold Development

May 2026

NYSE AM : MINE | TSX-V : MFG | mayfairgold.ca



Cautionary Note Regarding Forward Looking Statement

This presentation contains "forward looking information" for purposes of applicable securities laws ("forward-looking statements"). Forward-looking statements are neither historical facts nor assurances of future performance. Instead, they are based on the Company's current beliefs, expectations or assumptions regarding the future of Mayfair's business, future plans and strategies, the Company's operational results and other future conditions. Forward-looking statements can be identified by words such as "anticipate", "believe", "estimate", "expect", "intend", "may", "plan", "predict", "project", "seek", "target", "potential", "will", "would", "could", "should", "continue", "contemplate" and other similar expressions, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. These forward-looking statements include all matters that are not historical facts. They appear in a number of places throughout this presentation and include statements regarding the Company's intentions, beliefs or current expectations concerning, among other things, the Company's financial performance, financial condition, liquidity, prospects, growth, strategies and the industry in which it operates. This forward-looking information includes, among other things, statements relating to: expectations regarding industry trends, overall market growth rates and the Company's growth rates and growth strategies; the Company's business plans and strategies; expectations regarding growth and timing of such growth; and the Company's competitive position in its industry.

Although the Company bases the forward-looking statements contained in this presentation on assumptions that it believes are reasonable, the Company cautions investors that actual results and developments (including its financial performance, financial condition and liquidity, and the development of the industry in which it operates) may differ materially from those made in or suggested by the forward-looking statements contained in this presentation. Despite a careful process to prepare and review the forward-looking statements, there can be no assurance that the underlying opinions, estimates and assumptions will prove to be correct. By their nature, forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties because they relate to events and depend on circumstances that may or may not occur in the future.

Although the Company has attempted to identify important risk factors, there may be other risk factors not presently known to it or that it presently believes are not material that could also cause actual results and developments to differ materially from those made in or suggested by the forward-looking statements contained in this presentation. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Comparisons of results for current and any prior periods are not intended to express any future trends or indications of future performance, unless specifically expressed as such, and should only be viewed as historical data. Any forward-looking statements in this presentation are made as of the date of this presentation and, except as required by law, the Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statements or to publicly announce the results of any revisions to any of those statements to reflect future events or developments.

This presentation contains forward-looking statements and forward-looking information within the meaning of Canadian securities legislation that relate to Mayfair's current expectations and views of future events, damage to the Company's relationship with AAN, the risk of de-listing of the Company's shares on the TSXV, the risk of a loss of the Company's foreign private issuer status, and jeopardizing further value creation of the Fenn-Gib Gold Project.

This presentation includes market and industry data which was obtained from various publicly available sources believed by the Company to be true. Although the Company believes it to be reliable, the Company has not independently verified any of the data from third-party sources referred to in this presentation or analyzed or verified the underlying reports relied upon or referred to by such sources, or ascertained the underlying assumptions relied upon by such sources. The Company makes no representation as to the accuracy of such information.

This presentation does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of the securities of the Company in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful prior to registration or qualification under the securities laws of such jurisdiction.

National Instrument 43-101

The Qualified Persons ("QPs") responsible for the Study include: Tommaso Roberto Raponi, P.Eng., (Ausenco) – process plant design, process infrastructure, metallurgy, recovery methods, and operating (plant and G&A) cost estimates, financial analysis, Gordon Zurowski, P.Eng., (AGP) – mineral reserves, mining methods, mine design, and capital and operating costs related to the mine, as well as contribution to the economic analysis, Craig Hall, P.Eng. and Richard Cook, P.Geo. (Ltd.) (KP) – tailings and water management design, geotechnical aspects, environmental and permitting considerations and closure cost estimates, Sarah Barabash, P.Geo. (Ltd.) (Ecometrix) – geochemistry, and Tim Maunula, P.Geo. (TMAC) – mineral resource estimation and geological interpretation. Full detail of areas of responsibility of the QPs can be found in the Technical Report. The content of this presentation from the Study has been reviewed and approved by the QPs who authored the Study. In addition, Drew Anwyll, P.Eng., Chief Operating Officer of Mayfair, a QP as defined in NI 43-101, has reviewed the PFS on behalf of the Company and has approved the technical disclosure contained in this presentation. The full technical report, titled "National Instrument 43-101 Technical Report – Pre-Feasibility Study for the Fenn-Gib Project, Ontario, Canada", will be filed on SEDAR+ under Mayfair Gold's profile and will also be available on the Company's website at www.mayfairgold.ca.


Why Mayfair Gold?

Unlocking the Value of Fenn-Gib – 4.3 Moz Indicated Gold Resource



Strategic Path to Production

- Accelerated Provincial permitting
- Lower capital requirements
- Lower execution risk
- Targeting highest margin material for improved payback
- Quickest path to free cash flow in this gold cycle
- Re-rate and free cash flow unlocks reinvestment opportunities
- PFS¹ released January 2026



Ideal Location to Build with Exploration Upside

- Prolific Timmins gold district
- Highway access to site
- Power grid within 10 km
- Nearby Workforce (Matheson, Timmins)
- Near established producers (Discovery Silver, McEwen Mining, Agnico)
- Exploration upside at the Fenn-Gib deposit; unexplored Southern Block



Management: Proven & Aligned


- A management team with a track record of advancing development assets to production
- Recent Ontario project permitting success (Generation Mining)
- ~\$17 Million in insider equity purchases since October 2024
- ~34% insider ownership
- Committed long-term shareholder base (Muddy Waters, Heeney Capital, Oaktree, etc.)

Located in the Timmins Gold District

- Timmins region has produced more than 100 Million ounces of gold historically
- Excellent access, infrastructure, labour pool and services




Accelerated Path to Unlock Free Cash Flow



* Spot on Jan 6, 2026 → US\$4,450

Strategic Path Shortens Development Timeline



2026 Pre-Feasibility Study¹ Highlights

PFS¹ has 1Moz Reserve at US\$3,100 gold. Leaves optionality on 3.3Moz Indicated Resource not mined in PFS


64,096 koz LOM Average Annual Gold Production	1.29 g/t Gold LOM Avg Gold Grade	US\$1,292/Oz LOM Average ASIC ²	\$114M LOM Average Annual FCF ²	\$1,707M Cumulative FCF ²
---	-------------------------------------	---	--	---

Years 1 - 6

71,336 Oz Average Annual Gold Production	1.47 g/t Gold Avg Gold Grade	US\$1,171/Oz Average ASIC ²	Base Case → \$149M Avg Annual FCF ²	\$896M Cumulative FCF ²
			Spot Price* → \$239M Avg Annual FCF ²	\$1,432M Cumulative FCF ²

LOM Summary

4,800 tpd Avg Daily Throughput	\$450M Initial Capex	Base Case →	\$652M After-tax NPV (5%)	2.7 Yrs Payback	24% IRR (%)
88.3% Average Gold Recovery	\$61M Sustaining Capital	Spot Price* →	\$1,373M After-tax NPV (5%)	1.7 Yrs Payback	38% IRR (%)



NOTE: All figures in C\$ unless otherwise noted

* Spot on Jan 6, 2026 → US\$4,450 / oz Au C\$1.38:US\$1 Exchange Rate

2026 PFS¹ Study Summary Tables

Fenn-Gib 2026 PFS¹ Summary

General	Units	LOM
Gold Price	US\$ / oz Gold	3,100
F/X	C\$:US\$1	1.35

Operating Costs per Ounce	LOM	Y1-Y6
AISC ²	US\$/oz	US\$1,292 / US\$1,171

Capital Cost	LOM	
Initial Capex	C\$ M	\$450
Sustaining	C\$ M	\$61

Economics	Post-Tax	
Base Case - \$3,100 Gold		
NPV (5%)	C\$ M	\$652
IRR	%	24%
Payback	yr	2.7
Spot Case - \$4,450 Gold and 1.38 F/X		
NPV (5%)	C\$ M	\$1,373
IRR	%	38%
Payback	yr	1.7


Operating Metrics		LOM Total/Avg.	Y1-Y6
Mine Life	yr	14.3	
Daily Throughput	tpd	4,800	4,800
Strip Ratio	w:o	6.0:1	7.7:1
Avg. Gold Grade	g/t	1.29	1.47
Avg. Gold Recovery	%	88.3%	88.7%
Avg. Annual Gold Production	Oz	64,096	71,336

Operating Unit Costs	LOM Avg.	
Mining	C\$/t mined	\$4.53
Processing	C\$/t milled	\$19.22
G&A	C\$/t milled	\$6.82
Royalties & Refining	C\$/t milled	\$2.73
Total Operating	C\$/t milled	\$59.43

Gold Price Sensitivity on After-Tax							
Au Price US\$/oz	1,600	2,100	2,600	3,100	3,600	4,100	4,600
NPV(5%) C\$ M	-141	144	399	652	903	1,155	1,405
IRR (%)	N/A	10.3%	17.9%	24.1%	29.4%	34.3%	38.6%
Payback (yrs)	N/A	5.2	3.5	2.7	2.2	1.9	1.7


Grade and Production Profile

- The Fenn-Gib PFS¹ focuses on a high-grade core with average grade of 1.3 g/t Au over the 14.3 year projected mine life
- First six years of steady operations expected to produce on average 71,336 oz/year with 1.47 g/t feed grade



Note: Please see the NI-43-101 Technical Report and Pre-Feasibility Study for Fenn-Gib dated January 14, 2026

Free Cash Flow² Profile



(\$ M)	Year of production	1	2	3	4	5	6
Base Case	Annual free cash flow ²	124	208	174	123	132	135
(US\$3,100)	Cumulative free cash flow ^{2*}	124	332	506	629	761	896
Spot Case	Annual free cash flow ²	216	324	224	213	225	231
(US\$4,450)	Cumulative free cash flow ^{2*}	216	539	763	976	1,201	1,432

* Cumulative free cash flow² in this table does not include initial capital cost nor other sunk costs

Post-Tax Economics at \$3,100 Gold

C\$ 652M
NPV (5%)

24%
IRR (%)

2.7 Years
Payback Period

1.4x
NPV/ Initial
Capex

Post-Tax Economics at \$4,450 Gold

C\$ 1.37B
NPV (5%)

38%
IRR (%)

1.7 Years
Payback Period

3.1x
NPV/ Initial
Capex

Free Cash Flow at \$4,450 Gold

C\$ 238M
Avg Annual FCF²
Years 1-6

C\$ 1.4B
Cumulative Annual FCF²
Years 1-6


Capex & Opex Breakdown

Initial Capex	(C\$ M)
Mining	\$31.4
Crushing	\$21.6
Process Plant	\$114.7
On-Site Infrastructure	\$72.4
Other Infrastructure	\$15.6
Project Preliminaries	\$35.9
Project Indirects	\$30.7
Owner's Cost	\$61.7
Contingency	\$65.6
Total (C \$M)	\$449.7


LOM Sustaining Capex	(C\$ M)
Direct Costs	\$54.7
Project Indirects	\$2.5
Owner's Cost	\$3.7
Total (C \$M)	\$60.9

Source: Mayfair Gold Corp.


Initial Capex Cost Breakdown



Integrated Site Layout



Proposed Fenn-Gib Process Flow Sheet



- Conventional metallurgical flowsheet
- Similar to Alamos Gold's Young Davidson mine
- Metallurgical recovery of 90% Au for a head grade of 1.5 g/t

Source: Ausenco, 2025.

Predictable Schedule to Production


DEFINING THE SMALL-SCALE PROJECT TO DERISK PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL APPROVAL

Project designs and impacts stay within the Ontario environmental approval threshold

- Production Profile < 5,000 tonnes per day plant and ore mined
- No significant impacts to areas of federal jurisdiction


Adheres to a robust environmental and design standard

- 3-years of baseline data collected
- 1P1P permitting process admission expected in 2026



High Grade Pit - Grade Control Drilling Program

Preliminary* results show potential for a positive reconciliation on high grade zone



56 drill tight-spaced holes completed in Dec 2025; final results expected Q1 2026

Targeting **high grade mineralization** in Phase 1 Pit

Encouraging preliminary results: higher grade, less tonnage, similar metal content


Higher grade (**2.21 g/t vs. 1.68 g/t block model**) at 0.8 g/t cutoff

* The results cannot be generalized towards the entire resource until further results are received
Source: Mayfair Gold Corp.

Perfectly Located Land Position

Mayfair is located on one of the most prolific structures in the region and surrounded by past producers

- The Abitibi Greenstone belt has produced over 190 million ounces and has a gold endowment of over 300 Moz
- The two main controlling features are the Destor-Porcupine Fault Zone and the Cadillac-Larder Lake Fault Zone




Geologic Map of the Southern and Central Abitibi Greenstone

Exploration Potential


The Fenn-Gib deposit is proof of substantial exploration potential in our land package

- The South Block is largely unexplored and sits along the Porcupine-Destor Fault
- New Plato ground is very attractive and perfectly situated
- North Block is open at depth and along strike with resource growth potential outside of the Barrick back-in right





Re-Rating Opportunity: Catch Up Trade

- **2025 = untold story: transition year as management refined the Fenn-Gib development strategy**
- **2026 = visibility and awareness of robust economics and strategic path related to Mayfair investment opportunity**



Comparables index: Dakota Gold, Integra Resources, Liberty Gold, New Found Gold, Omai Gold Mines, Perpetua Resources, Osisko Development, STLLR Gold, Rupert Resources, Skeena Resources, Snowline Gold, Thesis Gold and Troilus Mining

Comps and Rerating Potential



Source for insitu value of \$135/oz: CIBC estimates of TEV/Resource for Canadian and US gold developers
 Source for FCF yield capitalization rate of 8.6%: BMO 2026 FCF yield estimates for overall producer group

* Based on January 2026 Fenn-Gib PFS¹

** Per share numbers are based on additional \$250 M of equity capital raised at \$4.50 share price

The Team – 34% Insider Ownership

MANAGEMENT TEAM



Drew Anwyll, P.Eng.
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

- Professional Engineer with 30+ years of global mining experience across operations, project development, and technical leadership
- Former Chief Operating Officer of Generation Mining
- Former Vice President Operations and Mine General Manager at Detour Gold
- Senior executive during construction, commissioning, and start-up of Detour Lake, Canada's largest gold mine
- Recently advanced the Marathon PGM Project through a joint federal-provincial environmental assessment review panel



Kevin Annett, CPA
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

- Mining finance executive with 15+ years of experience across project construction, operations, and corporate leadership.
- Most recently served as CFO, North America at Barrick, leading financial strategy, planning, and governance for the company's largest region.
- Held progressively senior roles at both Barrick and Detour Gold.



Zayem Lakhani, CFA
VICE PRESIDENT, CAPITAL
MARKETS

- Capital markets executive with 17+ years of experience in investment management, equity research, and corporate development
- Former Portfolio Manager and Head of Canadian Equities at HSBC Global Asset Management
- Lead decision-maker overseeing the investment process for approximately \$4 billion across multiple equity strategies



The Team – 34% Insider Ownership

BOARD OF DIRECTORS & STRATEGIC ADVISOR



Darren McLean
CHAIR OF THE BOARD

- Director of Research at Dfridge
- Consultant to Muddy Waters
- 10+ years of experience in mining and natural resources investing
- Former Vice President at K2 (2014–2023), focused on public and private mining investments



Sean Pi, CFA
DIRECTOR

- Partner at Heeney Capital
- Co-founder of Mayfair Gold; director since the Company's formation in 2019
- Former investment banker at Evercore Partners and Wells Fargo, covering diversified industrials
- Bachelor of Arts in Economics, Princeton University



Carson Block
DIRECTOR

- Founder and Chief Investment Officer of Muddy Waters
- Background in investment banking and equity research
- Former attorney at Jones Day (Shanghai)
- Recognized by Bloomberg Businessweek as one of the most influential figures in global markets



Zach Allwright
DIRECTOR

- Mining professional with 15 years of international experience in asset optimization and technical evaluations
- Former Director, North America at Mining Plus
- Bachelor of Engineering (Mining), Western Australian School of Mines
- MBA, Curtin Graduate School of Business



Christine Hsieh
DIRECTOR

- International commercial lawyer with 25 years of experience in mining, energy, and industrial sectors
- Former Group General Counsel at Promethium AG
- Former Executive Director, M&A at Eurasian Resources Group
- Former Legal Counsel at Glencore International AG
- BA in Economics, University of California, Berkeley; JD, Georgetown University




Pierre Beaudoin
STRATEGIC ADVISOR

- 40+ years global mine development and operations experience
- Former COO, SilverCrest Metals
- Former COO Detour Gold
- Senior leadership roles at Barrick
- Current Chairman of Radisson Mining and Director of Coeur Mining

Capitalization Structure

Issued and Outstanding on List	67.1 M
Options & Warrants	2.6 M
Fully Diluted Shares	69.7 M
Share Price (as at Apr 28, 2026)	\$3.95
Market Capitalization (C\$ M)	\$265




C\$32 M
Cash Position

34%
Management & Inside Ownership

C\$17 M
Management & Insider Purchases (since Oct-24)

Key Shareholders:

- Muddy Waters
- Heeney Capital
- Oaktree Capital
- Vestcor



Mayfair Checks ALL the Boxes

Great Mines are Built, Not found

Mayfair on the path to developing a Great Mine

<u>Geology</u> ✓	<u>Jurisdiction</u> ✓	<u>Management and Board</u> ✓	<u>Market Conditions</u> ✓
Drives revenues and margin	Drives execution timeline and probability	Drives execution probability	Drives cost and availability of capital
<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Size▪ Grade▪ Consistency▪ Recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Permitting framework▪ Rule of law▪ Social license▪ Access/Existing Infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Insider ownership▪ Experience and track record▪ Capital market savvy	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Gold price▪ Investor sentiment▪ Capital sources▪ Relative valuation



MAYFAIR
GOLD

Appendix

TSX-V : MFG | NYSE AM: MINE | mayfairgold.ca



Fenn-Gib Gold Project:

4.3 Million Ounce Indicated Gold Resource

Indicated

Cutoff (Au g/t)	Tonnes	Au (g/t)	Au(ounces)
>0.7	64,563,000	1.26	2,615,000
>0.6	82,125,000	1.13	2,984,000
>0.5	105,644,000	1.00	3,397,000
>0.4	137,251,000	0.87	3,839,000
>0.3	181,302,000	0.74	4,313,000

Inferred

Cutoff (Au g/t)	Tonnes	Au (g/t)	Au(ounces)
>0.7	1,140,000	0.96	35,000
>0.6	1,799,000	0.85	49,000
>0.5	2,170,000	0.75	65,000
>0.4	4,729,000	0.62	94,000
>0.3	8,921,000	0.49	141,000

Notes:

- Effective date of this updated mineral resource estimate is September 3, 2024. The assay cut-off date for drill holes included in the mineral resource was April 30, 2024.
- All mineral resources have been estimated in accordance with Canadian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and Petroleum ("CIM") definitions, as required under National Instrument (NI) 43-101. Mineral Resource Statement prepared by Tim Maunula, P. Geo (T. Maunula & Associates Consulting Inc.) in accordance with NI 43-101.
- Mineral Resources reported demonstrate reasonable prospect of eventual economic extraction, as required under NI 43-101. Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. The Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, marketing, and other relevant issues.
- Mineral Resources are reported at a cut-off grade of 0.30 g/t Au for an open-pit mining scenario using a 50° pit slope angle. Cut-off grades are based on a price of US\$2,000/oz gold, and an open pit mining cost of \$3.25/t, process cost of \$15.50/t and G&A \$2.00/t. Metallurgical recovery of 94% was used. Densities were assigned based on interpreted lithology.
- Ounce (troy) = metric tonnes x grade / 31.10348. All numbers have been rounded to reflect the relative accuracy of the estimate.
- The quantity and grade of reported Inferred Resources are uncertain in nature and there has not been sufficient work to define these Inferred Resources as Indicated or Measured Resources. It is reasonably expected that many of the Inferred Mineral Resources could be upgraded to Indicated Mineral Resources with continued exploration.
- Tonnages and ounces in the tables are rounded to the nearest thousand. Numbers may not total due to rounding.

300,000+

Metres drilled

97%

Indicated resource category

Indicated Gold Resource Estimate

181.3 Mt grading 0.74 g/t Au | **Containing 4.3 M** Ounces of Au

Inferred Gold Resource Estimate

8.9 Mt grading 0.44 g/t Au | **Containing 141,000** Ounces of Au

Reserves


Reserve Class	Process Feed (Mt)	Grade Au (g/t)	Contained Gold (M oz)
Proven			
Probable	25.13	1.29	1.04
Total Reserves	25.13	1.29	1.04

Notes:

- This mineral reserve estimate has an effective date of December 19, 2025.
- The Mineral Reserve estimation was completed under the supervision of Gordon Zurowski, P.Eng. of AGP Mining Consultants Inc., who is a Qualified Person as defined under NI 43-101.
- Mineral Reserves are stated within the ultimate design pit based on:
 - US\$1750/oz gold price
 - Pit Limit corresponds to a pit shell with a revenue factor of 0.55, corresponding to a price of US\$962/oz Au.
 - An elevated cut-off grade of 0.80 g/t Au for all pit phases.
 - Preliminary mining cost assumptions of \$3.24/t mined of waste, \$3.23/t mined of ore, with an incremental mining cost of \$0.02/t/5m bench mined below the 5310m elevation.
 - Preliminary processing cost assumptions of \$14.50/t processed, general & administration assumption of \$2.10/t processed, and stockpile rehandle cost assumption of \$1.00/t processed.
 - Preliminary process recovery assumptions of 92.6% for gold.
 - An exchange rate of C\$1.35 equal to US\$1.00.
 - The preliminary economic, cost and recovery assumptions used at the time of mine planning and reserve estimation may not necessarily conform to those stated in the economic model.
- Pit slope inter-ramp slope angle assumptions ranged from 49 - 65° and overall slope angles ranging from 40 - 51° in rock.

Metallurgical Testwork: De-Risked Plant Design

Quality Metallurgical Testing → Defined Plant Flow Sheet



Source: Mayfair Gold Corp.

Quality Metallurgical Testing

- 4 Phases of met testing completed since 2010 to define:
 - mineral characterization and rock properties
 - metallurgical performance (*for processing option evaluation*)
 - advanced deposit variability testing (*knowing how the various domain perform*)

Met Testing → Plant Design

- Processing Flow Sheet consisting of:
 - 3-Stage crushing followed by Ball Mill grinding
 - Gravity gold recovery (*for future installation*)
 - Rougher flotation
 - High-intensity re-grind mill
 - Cyanidation in Carbon in Leach Circuit (CIL)
 - Gold recovery and refining
 - Cyanide detox to Tailings Storage Facility

Met Testing programs completed for the PFS allows for optimization of plant design and predictability plant performance

2025 Fenn-Gib Metallurgical Test Results

2025 Metallurgical Testwork Program Targets to support the 2025 PFS:

- Ball Mill grind size of 80% passing 106 µm
- 23 to 29% concentrate mass pull
- Fine grinding size of 80% passing 13 µm
- 30-hr cyanidation period (rate kinetic samples taken at 6, 12, 18, 24 and 30 hours)

Total of 46 sample points taken with a grade range of 0.2 – 5.0+ g/t gold

• 0.2 – 0.5 g/t gold:	9 samples (avg. 0.39 g/t gold)	avg. Recovery: 80.1%
• 0.5 – 1.0 g/t gold:	11 samples (avg. 0.65 g/t gold)	avg. Recovery: 80.6%
• 1.0 – 1.5 g/t gold:	8 samples (avg. 1.14 g/t gold)	avg. Recovery: 84.1%
• 1.5 – 2.0 g/t gold:	6 samples (avg. 1.60 g/t gold)	avg. Recovery: 90.3%
• 2.0 – 2.5 g/t gold:	4 samples (avg. 2.21 g/t gold)	avg. Recovery: 91.3%
• 2.5+ g/t gold:	8 samples (avg. 5.14 g/t gold)	avg. Recovery: 90.3%

Final test results indicate overall metallurgical gold recovery of:

89.6% for a feed grade of
1.5 g/t gold

82.5% for a feed grade of
0.8 g/t gold

Source: Mayfair Gold Corp.



THANK YOU

Drew Anwyll

CEO

Drew@mayfairgold.ca

Zayem Lakhani

VP Capital Markets

Zayem@mayfairgold.ca



MAYFAIR
GOLD

Endnotes

1. References in this presentation to PFS or Pre-Feasibility Study refers to the company's NI-43-101 Technical Report and Pre-Feasibility Study for Fenn-Gib dated January 14, 2026 with an effective date of December 19, 2025.
2. This presentation and certain documents incorporated by reference in this presentation, including the Technical Report (as defined above), include references to financial measures which do not have standardized meanings and may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers, referred to as non-GAAP financial measures. These non-GAAP financial measures, common to the gold mining industry, are "all-in sustaining cost per ounce of gold sold" or "AISC", "cash costs" and "free cash flow" or "FCF". As the Corporation is not in production, the Corporation does not have historical non-GAAP financial measures nor historical comparable measures under IFRS, and therefore the foregoing prospective non-GAAP financial measures may not be reconciled to the nearest comparable measures under IFRS.